DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 044 038 EM 008 516

AUTHOR	Wheelbarger, Johnny J.
TITLE	An Investigation of the Role of Pictorial Complexity in Visual Perception.
INSTITUTION	Virginia Univ., Charlottesville. School of Education.
PUB DATE	10 Aug 70
NOTE	94p.; Thesis submitted to the School of Education of the University of Virginia
EDRS PRICE	EDRS Price MF-$0.50 HC-$4.80
DESCRIPTORS	Audiovisual Aids, Color Presentation, *Doctoral Theses, Illustrations, Nonverbal Learning, Pictorial Stimuli, *Realism, Slides, *Visual Learning, Visual Stimuli

ABSTRACT

Several theories in audiovisual education hold that learning from a visual illustration is directly related to the realism of the visual aid. In order to test this theory, five treatment groups were established. All groups were pretested, taught the same unit of instruction, and posttested. Four groups saw slide sequences in which were viewed illustrations with a different degree of realism: line drawing (black and white), line drawing (color), shaded drawing (black and white), and a shaded drawing (color). The fifth group saw a slide presentation with words only. The results of the study showed no significant difference among the five groups in learning achievement. The only significant difference observed was that the high IQ students who received the verbal-only treatment tended to score lower on the recognition test. (JY)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

ED044038

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE ROLE OF PICTORIAL COMPLEXITY IN VISUAL PERCEPTION

A Dissertation

Presented to

the Graduate School of Education

University of Virginia

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree

Doctor of Education

---

by

Johnny J. Wheelbarger

August 10, 1970

EM008516

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The investigator acknowledges the contributions of those individuals who have helped make this study possible.

Particular appreciation is expressed to Dr. Francis M. Dwyer, who engineered the research in the area concerned, and to the members of the doctoral committee. This committee consisted of: Dr. Earl P. Smith, Dr. Jerry R. Moore, and Dr. William C. Lowry.

Appreciation is expressed to my wife, Bonnie, who has spent hours proofreading and typing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		PAGE
I.	THE PROBLEM AND DEFINITIONS OF TERMS	1
	Introduction	1
	Statement of the Problem	6
	Purpose	7
	Objectives of the Study	8
	Limitations	9
	Definitions of Terms	9
	Importance of the Study	13
II.	SURVEY OF LITERATURE	15
	Visual Elements	16
	Literature Supporting Realism Theories	17
	Literature Contesting Realism Theories	18
	Summary	22
III.	METHODS, MATERIALS, AND PROCEDURES	23
	Test Instruments	23
	Reliability	23
	Validity	24
	Stimulus Materials	24

CHAPTER PAGE

Population Sample 25

Treatments. 26

Analytical Design 26

Vector Assignment 27

Multiple Linear Regression. 32

Hypotheses. 34

IV. DATA ANALYSIS 35

Pretest 35

Hypothesis One. 36

Hypothesis Two and Four 39

Hypothesis Three. 40

Hypothesis Five 42

V. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS 49

Conclusions 51

Recommendations 53

Finis 54

BIBLIOGRAPHY. 55

APPENDICES. 60

Appendix A. 61

Appendix B. 62

Appendix C. 66

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
I.	Pretest Means, Variances, and Reliability	24
II.	Treatment Group Means	38
III.	Means For Three Intelligence Levels	41
IV.	Means For Intelligence Level 111 and Above by Treatment Groups	46

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE PAGE

1. Representation of Dale's "Cone of Experience" (1969). 3
2. Top Two Bands of Dale's (1969) Cone. According to the Realism Theories, Learning Decreases As One Moves Upward Through the Scale. Treatment Groups Are Shown in Their Proper Relationship to the Scale. 4
3. Diagram Suggesting That Learning Within a Specific Band of the Cone May Not Increase or Decrease Vertically 5
4. Comparison of Dwyer's 1967 and 1969 Studies 21

CHAPTER I

THE PROBLEM AND DEFINITION OF TERMS

Introduction. Increasing attention is being given to visual literacy and its various ramifications. There is discussion about talking with pictures and creating taxonomies for classifying visual illustrations. An important aspect of this matter is the degree of complexity of detail that is desirable in a visual.

Much of the past theory and activity in the field of audio-visual education has been based on the realism theories. These theories, in general, have held that in a given learning situation maximum learning occurs when the situation is most real or life-like. They suggest a continuum of learning related to a continuum of experience. Figure 1 represents an example of the continuum of experience concept. Realism, as defined by the theorists, decreases by vertical movement from the base of the cone to the top. Maximum learning occurs in the direct, first-hand experience, and decreases through the subsequent stages of

reality. Minimum learning occurs at the most abstract, least realistic, level in which verbal symbols alone are utilized.

The realism theories continue to determine practice in audio-visual education, but they have come into question in recent years. It has been suggested that there may be various continuums of learning within the over-all scale. If so, then learning may occur as indicated in Figure 2 on page 4. If not, then variations of experience within narrow limitations may be irrelevant as indicated in Figure 3 on page 5. It has, also, been suggested by some researchers that added detail may actually interfere with learning.

The present state of research in the area of visual literacy indicates the need for further research in which the three elements (learner, visual, and objectives) of a learning situation are carefully defined and studied in relation to each other. It has become essential to study these factors one at a time while holding constant the other two factors.



FIGURE 1

REPRESENTATION OF DALE'S (1969)
"CONE OF EXPERIENCE"



FIGURE 2

TOP TWO BANDS OF DALE'S (1969) CONE. ACCORDING TO THE REALISM THEORIES, LEARNING DECREASES AS ONE MOVES UPWARD THROUGH THE SCALE. TREATMENT GROUPS ARE SHOWN IN THEIR PROPER RELATIONSHIP TO THE SCALE.



FIGURE 3

DIAGRAM SUGGESTING THAT LEARNING WITHIN A SPECIFIC BAND OF THE CONE MAY NOT INCREASE OR DECREASE VERTICALLY

Statement of the Problem. This experiment was designed to study the role of complexity of detail as related to learning. For the realism theories to be supported, two conditions must hold: a) that learning increases with complexity, and b) that learning increases in a continuum.

In order to study the possible existence of this realism theory five treatment groups were established each viewing a different sequence of visuals. All five groups were taught the same unit of instruction concerning the human heart. The cognitive elements in the learning experience included names of the specific parts and the operation of the heart through one complete cycle.

All groups received the same taped-word presentation. The treatment groups differed in the following ways:

(Group 1) The visual instruction was limited to a verbal presentation. The 2 X 2 slides had nothing but printed words on them. These words were identical to those used on the slides in the other sequences. However, in all of the other sequences there were these words plus visual illustrations on each slide.

(Group 2) The visual instruction consisted of simplified line drawings of the heart. The drawings were in black and white and were presented on slides.

(Group 3) The visual instruction consisted of simplified line drawings of the heart. The drawings were in color and were presented on slides.

(Group 4) The visual instruction consisted of shaded line drawings of the heart. The drawings were in black and white and were presented on slides.

(Group 5) The visual instruction consisted of shaded drawings of the heart. The drawings were in color and were presented on slides.

Students in all five groups were pretested and post-tested on cognitive information about the heart. The results of the instruction were measured by testing the student's recognition of parts of the heart, recall of terminology, and knowledge of operations of the heart. The student performance was recorded for each separate test and for a composite of the three tests.

Sexual differences and intelligence level differences on the Lorge-Thorndike Test were investigated to determine their possible relationship to learning within those visual symbol strata defined in Figure 2.

Purpose. The purpose of this study was to investigate relative effectiveness of the visual sequences on learning. Specifically, it was to investigate post-instruction factual recognition, recall, and knowledge of the heart and its operations.

This investigation was designed to determine support, for or against, the realism theory. The range of the continuum that was investigated is defined in Figure 2. The study was, also, designed to determine possible relationships between sexual differences and intelligence differences in learning based on specified stages of visual complexity.

Objectives of the Study. This study was designed to test the realism theory in terms of that portion of the cone shown in Figure 2. The test instruments included a Recall Test, Operations Test, Recognition Test, and a Composite of these three tests. Given these conditions, the following objectives were deemed appropriate:

1. To determine, if possible, the relative effectiveness of the five types of visual presentations as represented by the five treatment groups.

2. To determine, if possible, the existence or non-existence of a continuum of visual learning among the five treatment groups compared on the Composite Test.

3. To determine any possible differences in learning between the sexes.

4. To determine any possible differences in learning between students of varying score levels on the Lorge-Thorndike.

5. To determine any possible differences in achievement between sexual differences in terms of visual stimuli (i.e. do boys differ from girls in terms of learning from stimuli of one or more specific levels of visual complexity).

6. To determine any possible differences in achievement between intelligence levels in terms of visual stimuli (i.e. do students of varying intelligence levels differ from each other in terms of learning from stimuli of one or more specific levels of visual complexity).

Limitations. Dale's (1969) Cone of Experience starts with direct experience and moves vertically through verbal symbols (See Figure 1). This study was limited to visual and verbal symbols as indicated in Figure 2. Vertical movement was simulated by varying the degrees of pictorial complexity within the strata in Figure 2.

Materials used were those which were capable of providing optimum vertical movement within the chosen medium. The medium chosen was 2 X 2 projected slides accompanied by a standardized verbal presentation on audio tape.

The investigation was conducted among sixth-grade students within a single school.

Definition of Terms

Presentation. The projection of information was in one of five sequences: verbal presentation, simplified line drawings in black and white, simplified line drawings in color, shaded drawings in black and white, or colored drawings (see following definitions). The taped-word

presentation is a modification of Dwyer's (1967b) Heart Script. The visual illustrations are variations of a drawing by the 3M company (1960).

Verbal Presentation. The taped-word message was presented on a tape recorder complemented by 2 X 2 slides with nothing but printed words on them. (The other four sequences each have these identical words plus visual illustrations of varying realism.)

Simplified Line Drawings (B and W). This was a taped-word presentation complemented by 2 X 2 slides with printed words plus simplified line drawings of the heart.

Simplified Line Drawings (Color). This was a taped-word presentation complemented by 2 X 2 slides with printed words plus simplified line drawings of the heart with color added to provide an element of realism.

Shaded Drawing (B and W). This was a taped-word presentation complemented by 2 X 2 slides with printed words plus drawings that used shading to add realism.

Shaded Drawings (Color). This taped-word presentation was complemented by 2 X 2 slides with printed words plus drawings of the heart using color to add realism.

Recognition Test. This test consisted of sixteen multiple choice items. Parts on the drawing of a model were numbered and the students had an answer sheet with corresponding numbers on which they selected the proper name for each part. This test was designed to test recognition of parts illustrated on the heart drawing by selecting the correct label for each part. The test was a modification of Dwyer's (1967b) Identification Test.

Recall Test. This test consisted of sixteen multiple choice questions evaluating recall of terminology (i.e. printed words used on the slides). This was a modification of Dwyer's (1967b) Terminology Test.

Operations Test. This test consisted of fifteen multiple choice questions designed to test knowledge of operational factors. Operational factors included direction and sequence of blood flow through the heart, operation of the heart valves, and contraction and relaxation of the heart through one complete cycle. The test was a modification of Dwyer's (1967b) Comprehension Test.

Composite Test. The scores for each individual on the three preceding tests were combined into a composite

measure. This was a modification of Dwyer's (1967b) Total Criterion Test.

Pretest. The pretest was designed to determine prior knowledge of terms and operational factors concerning the human heart. The composite test was used as the pretest.

Complexity. For the purpose of this study, complexity was defined as an increase in the visual elements presented on the slides. This complexity ranged from the lowest level of black and white line drawings to the highest level of colored shaded color drawings. This is illustrated in Figure 2 on page 4. Complexity and realism are used synonymously in the study.

Realism. This term refers to elements within the visual illustrations which are shared with the real physical object (heart). A movement toward realism is synonymous with a movement toward increased complexity. (Refer to Figure 2.)

Knowledge. The term as used here reflects what Bloom (1956) refers to as: (1) "knowledge of specifics," and (2) "knowledge of ways and means of dealing with specifics."

Terminology. This includes the words indicating the specific parts of the heart and the functions of the heart as they appear on 2 X 2 slides.

Importance of the Study. If instruction is to approach the ideal of matching the visual--learner--objective, then further research is needed to investigate relevant aspects of all three elements. This study represents one step in a broad spectrum of needed research. The aspects of the elements included in this study are outlined in the following paragraphs.

The study included the investigation of aspects of the interaction of the learner and visual complexity. Sixth-grade students were chosen as the subjects of study because previous research had not tested the continuum theory at the elementary level. Students were randomly assigned to the treatment groups and the additional variables of sex and intelligence were investigated. Previous research has indicated that there is a need for investigating these last two variables. Therefore, since learning from a visual illustration seems highly individualistic, these variables were logical suspects for added dimension in this study.

The visual images were varied in complexity from line drawings in black and white to shaded drawings in

color. This range parallels that of pictorial illustrations frequently found in textbooks.

Research suggests that individuals change in their reaction to visual complexity as they grow older. In general, small children desire simplicity in visuals while adults desire more complexity. This continual change in preference, which has been verified by research (Travers, 1970), suggests the existence of a spectrum of learner characteristics. This present study has concentrated on the sixth-grade level as one point within that spectrum.

The findings of this study should have relevance for educators in providing additional guidance for the selection of still pictures to be used in instruction at the sixth-grade level. The findings should, also, be of some assistance to the publishers of sixth-grade materials including slides, filmstrips, and various still pictures. This should be useful in planning the pictorial material. It should have value for those who buy and use this material, and for those who produce or select material not commercially produced for the immediate task.

CHAPTER II

SURVEY OF LITERATURE AND JUSTIFICATION

Broadbent (1958) suggests that the topic of perception has recently become far more closely related to the rest of behavior than it used to be. To study the varying aspects of perception, it becomes necessary to set the subject some objectively scorable task, and to find how performance on this task is affected by various stimulus situations.

Research studies regarding the comparative effectiveness of the various media have often proved to be contradictory. However, the illustrations used in the varying media have seldom been equivalent. The usual procedure is to compare one medium with another. In some comparisons, for example, illustrations projected on slides are compared with the same illustrations on 16mm films. This means comparing a still picture with a moving picture. A still picture is not equivalent to moving picture even if both project the same image. Most comparisons make a similar kind of mistake. It would seem that no valid comparisons could be made unless material equivalent in content appears

in all of the media being compared (Dwyer, 1967). For this reason, several recent writers (Broadbent, 1958; Travers, 1964; Fleming, 1966; and Dwyer, 1967, 1969) are suggesting that controlled visual stimuli be isolated and studied for specific contributions to learning.

McCowen (1940), Vernon (1946), Hunt (1968), and others have found that visuals used to complement instruction do positively affect student achievement. Kopstein and Roshal (1954), and Treichler (1967) suggest that visuals used in conjuction with oral instruction improve instruction. The problem arises in the scarcity of evidence available indicating which types of visual illustrations best promote what types of student learning.

Visual Elements. The element of color in a visual used for certain instructional purposes is apparently still debatable. Color seems to make a visual more preferable to a learner, but according to Travers (1964, 2:55) "Research points to the conclusion that black and white is as effective as color for instructional purposes except when learning involves an actual color discrimination." Dwyer (1969) found that a detailed, shaded drawing presentation in color was more effective than the same drawing

in black and white. Other presentations used in the same study rated below these two in effectiveness.

Vernon (1966) reports that test subjects find ways of utilizing only the amount of information called for by a perceptual task. Thus, in identification, classification, and learning tasks they will use only distinctive details of a figure.

Allen (1960) suggests that there is a need for further study of factors within illustrations that produce learning.

Literature Supporting Realism Theories. The realism theories (Morris, 1946; Dale, 1946; Carpenter, 1953; and others) have held to a continuum concept. According to these theories an increase in number of cues or an increase in realism in visuals or in the learning situation increases the probability of maximum learning. Finn (1953) indicates that audiovisual theories have oriented around the basic concept of concrete-to-abstract learning. Gibson (1954) and Osgood (1965) have expressed views that would be consistent with this thinking.

Dale's "Cone of Experience" is viewed by researchers as representative of the realism theories (See Figure 1 on

page 3). It attempts to classify specific learning experiences as bands on a cone. Experiences move vertically from direct experiences at the base of the cone to verbal symbols at the apex (Dale, 1969). The realism theory holds that maximum learning occurs in the direct experience and minimum learning occurs when verbal symbols are used. The learning decrease between the two extremes occurs on a continuum.

Literature Contesting Realism Theories. Miller (1967) and Dwyer (1967) content that added cues do not increase learning by linear increment. Travers (1964) says that learners do not need highly embellished stimuli in order to recognize attributes of a visual or to identify objects or situations.

Recent evidence (French, 1954; Miller, 1956; Rappaport, 1957; Attneave, 1959; Travers, 1964) seems to indicate discrimination learning affected by additional stimuli may be limited by the information processing capacity of the brain. There seems to be an early peak in learning and then a diminishing effect with addition of cues.

Broadbent's (1958) filter theory suggests that it is the filtering process within the central processing

system which prevents much of the realistic stimuli from being actively received by the brain. He says that each stimulus is analyzed for certain relevant qualities and only these are passed on for further analysis. A related view held by Broadbent is that there is a perceptual defense. According to this view, features of the world which are repugnant to a particular person may not be perceived at all.

Writers from several fields (Jacobson, 1951; Broadbent, 1965) have developed models which do not support the assumption that increased realism leads to more effective learning. Recent research does not seem to dispute the existence of a realism continuum, but seems to suggest several visual continuums exist and that certain ones may be more useful than others in predicting student learning of specific objectives (Dwyer, 1969).

A brief examination of Dwyer's work is provided in Figure 4 which compares one of his earlier studies (1967) with one of his later ones (1969). His initial study involved: (1) oral presentation (with printed words on slide); (2) abstract linear presentation; (3) detailed, shaded drawings; and (4) realistic photographs of the heart. He later expanded this set of presentations to include

colored illustrations and photographs of a heart model. His means of evaluation included a Drawing Test, Heart Model Test, Terminology Test, Comprehension Test, and a Total Criterion Test which was a composite of the other four tests.

Dwyer actually did a series of studies using these materials. These were done with students ranging from the ninth-grade up to and including college. The results of these various studies, like the two just cited, were not consistent. He concluded that "the same types of visuals are not equally effective in facilitating student achievement of identical educational objectives for students at different grade levels" (1969).

Having arrived at this point, Dwyer adds a dimension to the argument of Fleming (1966) who feels that visual illustrations should be studied for their specific contributions to specific educational objectives. Travers (1964) lends some support to the concept that complexity in visuals is related to learning. These writers collectively suggest a spectrum of learner/visual characteristics.

Total Criterion Test (1967) Abstract linear and detailed, shaded drawing (equally good) oral presentation. (1969) Detailed, shaded drawing (color detailed shaded drawing (B and W) oral presentation.
Drawing Test (1967) Abstract linear and detailed, shaded drawing (equally good) oral presentation. (1969) No significant differences among the nine types of presentation.
Heart Model (Identification) Test (1967) Abstract linear presentation detailed, shaded drawing oral presentation and photograph. (1969) Detailed, shaded drawing (color) oral presentation.
Terminology Test (1967) Abstract linear and detailed, shaded drawing (equally good) photograph. (Oral presentation alone was as effective as any of the other presentations.) (1969) Detailed, shaded drawing (color) detailed, shaded drawing (B and W) oral presentation.
Comprehension Test (1967) All presentations equally effective. (1969) Detailed, shaded drawing oral presentation

FIGURE 4

COMPARISON OF DWYER'S 1967 AND 1969 STUDIES

Summary. Combining these ideas (Travers, 1964; Fleming, 1966; Dwyer, 1967, 1969), it seems that visual complexity (including the presence or absence of color) is probably related to learning. This visual-learning relationship is modified by age, or grade level; and all of this is dependent upon the specific educational objectives. In other words, the role of a visual illustration in a learning situation is dependent not only upon the visual itself, but it is also dependent upon the individual learner and the specific educational objective. It is these relations that are being examined in more detail in the present study.

CHAPTER III

METHODS, MATERIALS, AND PROCEDURES

This chapter was designed to define the stimulus materials, test materials, population group, and to outline procedures by which the experiment was conducted.

Test Instruments. The following tests were included in both the pretest and the posttest: Recall Test, Operations Test, Recognition Test, and Composite Test.

Reliability. A trial presentation was run for the purpose of establishing the reliability of the test instruments. The trial was run at McIntire School in Charlottesville, Virginia. The school had three sixth-grade classes grouped by ability. This test involved the low group with twenty-six members and the high group with thirty-five members. Only the top group and the bottom group were used in this trial. One presentation, Line Drawing (B and W), was used and the posttests were administered and scored.

A Kuder-Richardson Formula (Ebel, 1956) was used with the composite test and each sub-test to determine reliability. Table I includes the means, variance, and the reliability.

TABLE I

PRETEST MEANS, VARIANCE, AND RELIABILITY

	Means	Variance	Reliability
Recall Test	9.11	4.10	.84
Operations Test	7.34	3.16	.71
Recognition Test	9.85	4.73	.90
Composite Test	26.31	10.97	.93

Validity. A chart of the pq scores used in the computation and a chart indicating content validity are furnished in the appendix (See appendices A and B).

Stimulus Materials. Stimulus materials were designed for sixth-grade students. From his previous studies, Dwyer (1969) found that learning sometimes increased with color. Therefore, color was included in this present study to determine its effect on learning.

These stimulus materials used one basic format for all sequences. Thus, the only differences between the sequences were those specifically designed for study purposes. For an example, the only difference between the

line drawings in black and white and the line drawings in color was the actual color of the lines.

The visual stimulus materials were presented on 2 X 2 slides and the standardized oral program was presented via a tape recorder. There was an audible signal to indicate when each slide was to be changed. This insured uniform timing for each treatment group.

The slide projector was placed twelve feet from the screen in a darkened room. The students were seated on movable, folding chairs and were placed in positions providing comfortable viewing and listening for each student.

Population Sample. Students at the Washington-Reid School in Prince William County, Virginia were randomly selected, using Freund's (1967) table of random numbers, and randomly assigned to one of the five treatment groups. Those students not having complete data available in their records (IQ scores, etc.) were excluded. The school enrollment consisted of sixth-grade students exclusively.

The students in this population sample consisted of students living in a suburban area. Most of them came from a housing development that had been built within the five

years previous to this study. Some were from low income areas. Many of these individuals had a rural or semi-rural background.

Treatments. The five groups received the following visual treatments:

(Group 1) The visual portion of the instruction was limited to a verbal presentation. The 2 X 2 slides had nothing but printed words on them. These words were identical to those used on the slides in the other sequences. However, in all of the other sequences there were these words plus visual illustrations on each slide.

(Group 2) The visual instruction consisted of simplified line drawings of the heart. The drawings were in black and white and were presented on slides.

(Group 3) The visual instruction consisted of simplified line drawings of the heart. The drawings were in color and were presented on slides.

(Group 4) The visual instruction consisted of shaded drawings of the heart. The drawings were in black and white and were presented on slides.

(Group 5) The visual instruction consisted of shaded drawings of the heart. The drawings were in color and were presented on slides.

Analytical Design. The design used in this study was a multiple linear regression design. This permitted the use of variables in both continuous and categorical vectors.

Vector Assignment

x^1 = vector of dimension n in which the elements are the corresponding pretest scores

x^2 = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 94 or below; and 0 otherwise

x^3 = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 95-110; and 0 otherwise

x^4 = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 111 or up; and 0 otherwise

x^5 = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a boy; and 0 otherwise

x^6 = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a girl; and 0 otherwise

x^7 = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a boy in the Verbal treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^8 = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a girl in the Verbal treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^9 = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is in the Verbal treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{10} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a boy in the Line Drawing (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{11} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a girl in the Line Drawing (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{12} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is in the Line Drawing (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{13} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a boy in the Line Drawing (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{14} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a girl in the Line Drawing (Color) treatment; and 0 otherwise

x^{15} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is in the Line Drawing (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{16} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a boy in the Shaded (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{17} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a girl in the Shaded (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{18} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is in the Shaded (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{19} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a boy in the Shaded (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{20} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is a girl in the Shaded (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{21} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is in the Shaded (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{22} = vector of dimension n in which the elements are the corresponding Recall Test scores

x^{23} = vector of dimension n in which the elements are the corresponding Operations Test scores

x^{24} = vector of dimension n in which the elements are the corresponding Recognition Test scores

x^{25} = vector of dimension n in which the elements are the corresponding Composite Test scores

x^{26} = unit vector of dimension n

x^{27} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 94 or below and is in the Verbal treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{28} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 95-110 and is in the Verbal treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{29} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 111 or up and is in the Verbal treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{30} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is in the Verbal treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{31} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 94 or below and is in the Line Drawing (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{32} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 95-110 and is in the Line Drawing (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{33} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 111 or above and is in the Line Drawing (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{34} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is in the Line Drawing (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{35} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 94 or below and is in the Line Drawing (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{36} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 95-110 and is in the Line Drawing (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{37} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 111 or up and is in the Line Drawing (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{38} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is in the Line Drawing (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{39} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 94 or below and is in the Shaded (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{40} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 95-110 and is in the Shaded (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{41} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 111 or above and is in the Shaded (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{42} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is in the Shaded (B & W) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{43} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 94 or below and is in the Shaded (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{44} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 95-110 and is in the Shaded (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{45} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who has an IQ of 111 or up and is in the Shaded (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise

x^{46} = vector of dimension n in which the element is a 1 if the corresponding element in vector x^1 comes from a student who is in the Shaded (Color) treatment group; and 0 otherwise.

Full and restricted models were defined to test each hypothesis. These models are recorded, along with resulting probabilities, in chapter four.

Multiple Linear Regression. This is a research design which permits the formulation and analysis of two distinct possibilities in one distinct application. Comparison of the results of the studies of each of the two possibilities usually gives answers to the research questions being investigated.

These two possibilities are stated in terms of models. The first is the full model and the second is the restricted model. The full model represents an attempt to predict criterion values from a linear combination of the stated vectors. The restricted model attempts to predict the criterion values without taking the previously stated vectors into consideration. In other words, the full model suggests the existence of differences between the stated

vectors and the restricted model suggests the nonexistence of differences between the stated vectors (it completely omits the vectors being questioned). The results of the study of the full model are compared to the results of the study of the restricted model. On the basis of this comparison, a probability may be determined. Numerous additional questions can then be investigated by formulating additional models.

The research questions being investigated in this study are stated in the form of null hypotheses. That is, the null hypotheses suggest that there are no differences (significant at the .05 level) between the vectors in question. Therefore, if a resulting probability is more than .05, the null hypothesis is accepted. Likewise, if the probability is less than .05, the null hypothesis is rejected.

The test results are used as the criterion values in this study. These test results are represented by vector designations x^{25}, x^{22}, x^{23}, and x^{24}.

Bottenbert (1963) was used as the design reference.

Hypotheses. The null hypotheses were tested with a Recall Test, Operations Test, Recognition Test, and a Composite Test which consisted of a combination of the other three tests. Given these means of evaluation, the null hypotheses were as follows:

(H_1) There are no differences in learning achievement among students viewing visual illustrations with varying amounts of realism.

(H_2) There are no differences in learning achievement between sexual differences.

(H_3) There are no differences in learning achievement between students of varying intelligence levels. Intelligence levels were derived from scores on the Lorge-Thorndike Test.

(H_4) There are no differences in learning achievement between sexual differences in terms of visual stimuli as represented by treatment groups. That is, boys and girls learn essentially the same at each stratum of visual complexity (Figure 2, page 4).

(H_5) There are no differences in learning achievement between students of varying intelligence levels in terms of visual stimuli as represented by treatment groups. That is, students of varying intelligence levels learn essentially the same at each stratum of visual complexity (Figure 2, page 4).

In chapter four the data from this experiment will be analyzed.

CHAPTER IV

DATA ANALYSIS

Pretest. Each treatment group was given a pretest. This was followed immediately by the formal presentation and the posttests. The pretest scores were examined to determine any possible initial differences between the five treatment groups.

A full model was designed to study the possibility of pretest score differences among the five treatment groups.* A restricted model was designed to study the possibility of no pretest score differences among the five treatment groups. The models were:

$$\text{Full } x^1 = a_9x^9 + a_{12}x^{12} + a_{15}x^{15} + a_{18}x^{18} + a_{21}x^{21} + a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

$$\text{RSTR } x^1 = a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

Probability = .15 ($p > .05$)

---

*See page 27 for vector assignment.

A comparison, by computer, of the studies of the two possibilities resulted in a probability that was greater than .05. Therefore, any initial differences among the five treatment groups were not significant at the .05 level. This determined that the treatment groups were essentially equal at the beginning of the experiment.

Hypothesis One: There are no differences in learning achievement among students viewing visual illustrations with varying amounts of realism.

The hypothesis was investigated by designing a full model to study the possibility of differences among the treatment groups and a restricted model to study the possibility of no differences.

A comparison of the two studies was made using the Composite Test scores (x^{25}) as the criterion value. The comparison was then repeated using Recall Test scores (x^{22}), Operations Test scores (x^{23}), and Recognition Test scores (x^{24}) as the criterion values.

The models and the resulting probabilities were as follows:

$$\text{Full } x^{25} = a_9x^9 + a_{12}x^{12} + a_{15}x^{15} + a_{18}x^{18} + a_{21}x^{21} + a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

$$\text{RSTR } x^{25} = a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

Probabilities

x^{25} = .17 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{22} = .22 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{23} = .23 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{24} = .02 ($p < .05$) rejected at the .05 level

There were no significant differences found at the .05 level when the Composite Test, Recall Test, and Operations Test scores were used as the criterion values. Thus, the hypothesis was accepted in terms of these criteria.

When the Recognition Test scores (x^{24}) were used as the criterion values, the probability was less than .05. This indicates that the differences were significant at the .05 level. The null hypothesis, as it related to Recognition Test scores, was rejected.

In order to investigate the cause for this difference, the means for each treatment group were examined. The following table consists of the means, for each treatment group, of the Recognition Test scores.

TABLE II

TREATMENT GROUP MEANS

Verbal	6.52
Line Drawing (B & W)	8.44
Line Drawing (Color)	8.28
Shaded Drawing (B & W)	8.08
Shaded Drawing (Color)	8.44

An examination of this table reveals a lower mean score for the Verbal treatment group.

In summary, there were no differences, significant at the .05 level, among the treatment groups when the Composite Test, Recall Test, and Operations Test scores were used as the criterion values. When the Recognition Test scores were used as the criterion values, differences significant at the .05 level were found. An examination of the means revealed that the Verbal treatment group had a lower mean score.

The Verbal treatment group did not see visual illustration, and did not respond as well when the test called for labeling parts on a drawing.

Hypotheses Two and Four. Hypothesis two: There are no differences in learning achievement between sexual differences.

Hypothesis four: There are no differences in learning achievement between sexual differences in terms of visual stimuli as represented by treatment groups. That is, boys and girls learn essentially the same at each stratum of visual complexity (Figure 2, page 4).

A full model was designed to study the possibility of differences in learning achievement between the sexes. A restricted model was designed to study the possibility of no differences in learning achievement. The two studies were compared using scores from the Composite Test and each of the sub-tests as criterion values. The models and the resulting probabilities were as follows:

$$\text{Full } x^{25} = a_5x^5 + a_6x^6 + a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

$$\text{RSTR } x^{25} = a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

Probabilities

$x^{25} = .87$ ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

$x^{22} = .50$ ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

$x^{23} = .41$ ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

$x^{24} = .85$ ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

There were no differences, significant at the .05 level, found in terms of any of the criterion values. Therefore, hypothesis number two was accepted.

Since there were no significant differences in learning achievement found between boys and girls (hypothesis two), it was concluded that there were no significant differences in learning achievement between boys and girls in terms of individual treatment groups (hypothesis four).

Hypothesis two and hypothesis four were both accepted.

Hypothesis Three: There are no differences in learning achievement between students of varying intelligence levels. Intelligence levels were derived from scores on the Lorge-Thorndike Test.

Intelligence levels were determined from scores on the Lorge-Thorndike Test. Three categories were established for this study. The first group consisted of those having scores of 94 or below (x^2), the second group had scores of 95-110 (x^3), and the third group had scores of 111 and above (x^4).

A full model was designed to study the possibility of differences in learning achievement between the three intelligence levels. A restricted model was designed to study the possibility of no differences in learning achievement between the three levels. The two studies were compared in terms of the Composite Test and each of the sub-tests. The models and the resulting probabilities were as follows:

Full $x^{25} = a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + a_4x^4 + a_{26}x^{26} + e$

RSTR $x^{25} = a_{26}x^{26} + e$

Probabilities

x^{25} = .00000000003 rejected at the .05 level

x^{22} = .0000007 rejected at the .05 level

x^{23} = .00000005 rejected at the .05 level

x^{25} = .0000000003 rejected at the .05 level

The resulting probabilities for each of the criterion values were all less than .05. Therefore, the hypothesis was rejected at the .05 level of significance.

The following table provides a comparison of mean scores, as related to criterion values, of the three levels of intelligence.

TABLE III

MEANS FOR THREE INTELLIGENCE LEVELS

Criterion Values	x^{25}	x^{22}	x^{23}	x^{24}
111 and Above	29.12	10.42	8.37	10.40
95 to 110	22.25	8.28	6.57	7.40
94 and Below	16.03	6.11	4.85	5.06

Mean scores, for all criteria, increased as intelligence increased. This was true in terms of each of the four tests.

These mean scores are consistent with what would be be expected of varying intelligence levels. However, they are helpful in that they ascertain the expected differences and provide the basis for further investigation in hypothesis number five.

Hypothesis Five: There are no differences in learning achievement between students of varying intelligence levels in terms of visual stimuli as represented by treatment groups. That is, students of varying intelligence levels learn essentially the same at each stratum of visual complexity (Figure 2, page 4).

For the purpose of investigating this hypothesis, the test scores were separated and each intelligence level was studied by itself.

Intelligence Level 94 and Below. First, all test scores for students on this level of intelligence were pulled by treatment groups.

A full model was designed to study the possibility of differences in learning achievement among the five treatment groups. A restricted model was designed to study the possibility of no differences in learning achievement among

the five groups. These two studies were compared using test scores from the Composite Test and each sub-test as criterion values.

The models and the resulting probabilities were as follows:

$$\text{Full } x^{25} = a_{27}x^{27} + a_{31}x^{31} + a_{35}x^{35} + a_{39}x^{39} + a_{43}x^{43} + a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

$$\text{RSTR } x^{25} = a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

Probabilities

x^{25} = .25 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{22} = .22 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{23} = .87 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{24} = .46 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

All of the resulting probabilities were greater than .05 and, therefore, were not significant at the .05 level.

The null hypothesis was accepted for students at this intelligence level. Students having an IQ of 94 or below achieved essentially the same in each treatment group, thereby achieving essentially the same at each stratum of visual complexity.

Intelligence Level 95-110. This procedure was repeated for the other two levels of intelligence. The scores for those students having an IQ of 95-110 were pulled next. The models used and the resulting probabilities were as follows:

$$\text{Full } x^{25} = a_{28}x^{28} + a_{32}x^{32} + a_{36}x^{36} + a_{40}x^{40} + a_{44}x^{44} + a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

$$\text{RSTR } x^{25} = a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

Probabilities

x^{25} = .50 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{22} = .21 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{23} = .45 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{24} = .69 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

Again, there were no significant differences at the .05 level among the resulting probabilities.

Therefore, the null hypothesis was accepted for this intelligence level. Students having an IQ of 95-110 achieved essentially the same at each stratum of visual complexity. That is, they achieved essentially the same in each treatment group.

Intelligence Level 111 and Above. Scores for this level were pulled and models designed. The resulting probabilities were:

$$\text{Full } x^{25} = a_{29}x^{29} + a_{33}x^{33} + a_{37}x^{37} + a_{41}x^{41} + a_{45}x^{45} + a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

$$\text{RSTR } x^{25} = a_{26}x^{26} + e$$

Probabilities

x^{25} = .02 ($p < .05$) rejected at the .05 level

x^{22} = .13 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{23} = .09 ($p > .05$) accepted at the .05 level

x^{24} = .01 ($p < .05$) rejected at the .05 level

There were no significant differences, at the .05 level, among the treatment groups when scores from the Recall Test (x^{22}) and the Operations Test (x^{23}) were used as criterion values. The null hypothesis was accepted as it related to these criterion values. That is: students having an IQ of 111 or above achieved the same on the Recall Test and Operations Test at each stratum of visual complexity (in each treatment group).

There were significant differences at the .05 level, among the treatment groups when scores from the Composite

Test (x^{25}) and the Recognition Test (x_{24}) were used as the criterion values. The null hypothesis was rejected as it related to these criterion values.

Having determined that there were differences among the treatment groups in terms of these two criteria, the mean scores of each treatment group were examined. The following table consists of the mean scores for each treatment group.

TABLE IV

MEANS FOR INTELLIGENCE LEVEL 111 AND ABOVE BY TREATMENT GROUPS

Criterion	x^{25}	x^{24}
Verbal	22.15	7.14
Line Drawing (B & W)	33.19	12.17
Line Drawing (Color)	31.10	11.66
Shaded Drawing (B & W)	29.41	11.08
Shaded Drawing (Color)	31.31	11.08

An inspection of this table reveals lower mean scores for the Verbal treatment group on the Composite Test (x^{25}) and on the Recognition Test (x^{24}). Those in the Verbal

treatment group did not achieve mean scores on these two criterion values as high as those students in the other treatment groups.

An examination of mean scores revealed that it was the Recognition Test scores that decreased the Composite Test scores downward (there were no significant differences) on the other two sub-tests).

Thus, it was concluded that students having an IQ of 111 or above did not achieve as well on the Recognition Test if they were in the Verbal treatment group.

In summary, there were no significant differences in learning achievement among students of varying intelligence levels when they were viewing stimuli containing visual illustrations of varying complexity. That is, when each intelligence level was considered separately, each level reflected no significant differences among the four treatment groups which viewed sequences having visual illustrations. Those students in the two lowest groups scored essentially the same (as others on their respective intelligence levels) in all five treatment groups. Students in these two intelligence levels scored essentially the same, as others on their level, in all five strata of visual complexity.

Those students having the highest level of intelligence achieved significantly lower on the Recognition Test when they were among those in the Verbal treatment group (that treatment which provided no visual illustrations). In chapter five these results will be discussed further.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This experiment was designed to investigate the role of complexity of detail in a picture as related to learning. For the realism theories to be supported, two conditions must hold: a) that learning increases with complexity, and b) that learning increases in a continuum.

In order to examine the realism theory, five treatment groups were established for this study, each viewing a different sequence of visuals. The five sequences included the following presentations: Verbal (words only), Line Drawing (Black and White), Line Drawing (Color), Shaded Drawing (Black and White), and a Shaded Drawing (Color). All five groups were taught the same unit of instruction concerning the human heart. The cognitive elements in the learning experience included names of the specific parts and the operation of the heart through one complete cycle.

Students in all five groups were pretested and posttested on cognitive information about the heart. The effects of the instruction were measured by the student's recognition

of parts of the heart, recall of terminology, and knowledge of operations of the heart. Student performances were recorded for each separate test and for a composite of the three tests.

Sexual differences and intelligence level differences (Lorge-Thorndike scores) were investigated to determine their possible relationship to learning within those visual symbol strata defined.

The data collected in this experiment did not indicate any significant differences, at the .05 level, among the five treatment groups--except when Recognition Test scores were used as the criteria. A comparison of the mean scores of the five treatment groups revealed the Verbal treatment group as the one having lower scores on the Recognition Test.

Investigation of intelligence levels indicated significant differences on the Composite Test and each sub-test. However, when each of the three categories of IQ scores (94 and below, 95-110, and 111 and above) were investigated separately, significant differences were found only with the top group. The significant differences found with this group related to the Recognition Test. A comparison

of the mean scores between treatment groups, again, revealed the Verbal treatment group as having lower scores. To sum up: those differences found were limited to the upper intelligence level of the Verbal treatment group.

The Verbal treatment group saw 2 X 2 slides with words only. The Recognition Test contained a drawing similar to the ones used in the slides shown to the other treatment groups. Therefore, it appears that the Recognition Test was evaluating a skill which required the use of the visual in the presentation.

There were no significant differences in learning achievement between boys and girls on the Composite Test or any of the sub-tests.

Conclusions. The results of this experiment did not reveal any differences, significant at the .05 level, between treatment groups viewing visual illustrations of varying complexity. When the visual illustration was completely omitted from a slide sequence, those students in the highest intelligence level viewing that sequence scored lower on the Recognition Test. Students in all treatment groups scored equally well on the other tests (Recall Test and Operations Test).

The final conclusion was that knowledge of sexual differences was not significant as a predictor of learning achievement within this study.

Recommendations. On the basis of this experiment, the following recommendations are made for those who are involved in the preparation, publication, and/or the use of visual material for sixth-graders. (1) For the kinds of learning described here, the degree of complexity of detail, or realism, should not be of primary concern. (2) Emphasis should be placed on designing the visual for the specific learning task.

This last recommendation indicates that there may be a need for a specific degree of complexity in the visual. It may indicate the need for the specific elements within the visual. The relationship of the visual to the learning task should be the point of concentration rather than making the assumption that embellishment of a visual assures maximum learning.

There are several implications for further research. First, there is the need for an investigation of the relationship of visual complexity to the specific learning task (i.e., is the additional complexity specifically

related to the learning task as a necessary adjunct?). Second, there is the need for an investigation of the relationship of various learning tasks as compared to means of evaluation. This last point would involve investigation of learning experiences over several bands of Dale's cone (Figure 1, page 3), whereas this experiment was involved primarily with one band. The means of evaluation would need to reflect the specifics of the learning task. For example, if direct experience is used in the learning task, then a means of evaluation would need to be designed utilizing direct experience as a relevant aspect of evaluation. The same principle would apply to each band of the cone that is involved in the investigation. Finally, there is a need for investigation of the relationship of higher intelligence level students and the necessity of visual illustrations when the visuals relate directly to what is being taught and tested.

Finis. The complexity-continuum/learning-continuum theories were not supported by this study. However, it appears that there is a relationship of the visual to the specific learning task and the test instrument, especially for students of higher intelligence. This relationship is probably affected by complexity.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adams, J. Stacy. Research, Principles, and Practices in Visual Communication. Washington: DAVI, 1960.

Allen, W. H. "Audio-Visual Communication," Encyclopedia of Educational Research (3rd Ed.), 1960, pp. 115-137.

American Heart Association. About Your Heart and Bloodstream. New York: American Heart Association, 1969.

Attneave, Fred. Application of Information Theory to Psychology. New York: Henry Holt and Company, 1959.

Bloom, Benjamin S. (ed.). Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, Handbook 1: Cognitive Domain. New York: David McKay Co., 1956.

Bottenbert, Robert A. Applied Multiple Linear Regression. Springfield, Va.: U.S. Clearing House, 1963.

Broadbent, D. E. Perception and Communication. New York: Pergamon Press, 1958.

Buktenica, Norman A. Visual Learning. San Rafael: Dimension Publishing Co., 1968.

Carpenter, C. R. "A Theoretical Orientation for Instructional Film Research," Audio-Visual Communication Review, 1:38-52, 1953.

Dale, Edgar. Audio-Visual Methods in Teaching. New York: Dryden Press, 1946.

_____. Audio-Visual Methods in Teaching. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1954.

_____. Audio-Visual Methods in Teaching. New York: Dryden Press, 1969.

Debes, John L. "The Loom of Visual Literacy: An Overview," Audiovisual Instruction, 14:25-27, 1969.

_____. "Visual Communication, A Verbal Description," Elements of Visual Literacy. Rochester: Eastman Kodak Co., AT25:3, 1968.

Dornbush, R. L. and E. J. Willis. "Preference For Visual Complexity," Child Development, 39:639-46, 1968.

Dwyer, Francis M. "Adapting Visual Illustrations For Effective Learning," Harvard Educational Review, 37:250-63, 1967.

_____. "A Study of the Relative Effectiveness of Varied Visual Illustrations," ERIC ED 020658 Final Report Bethesda: ERIC Document Reproduction Service, 1967.

_____. "The Effect of Stimulus Variability on Immediate and Delayed Retention," The Journal of Experimental Education, 38:30-37, 1969.

Ebel, Robert L. The Construction and Use of Classroom Tests. Iowa City: The State University of Iowa, 1956.

Finn, James D. "Professionalizing the Audio-Visual Field," Audio-Visual Communication Review, 37:250-63, 1953.

Fleming, Malcolm L. Instructional Illustrations: A Survey of Types Occuring in Print Materials for Four Subject Areas. Washington: U.S. Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1966.

French, R. S. "Pattern Recognition in the Presence of Visual Noise," Journal of Experimental Psychology, 47:27-36, 1954.

Freund, John E. Modern Elementary Statistics, Third Edition. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1967.

Gibson, J. J. "A Theory of Pictorial Perception," Audio-Visual Communication Review, 2:3-23, 1954.

Gropper, George L. "Programming Visual Presentations for Procedural Learning," Audio-Visual Communication Review, 16:33-56, 1968.

Hunt, Jacob L. (ed.), and Leslie J. Briggs. "Learner Variables and Educational Media," Review of Educational Research, 38:160-176, 1968.

Jacobson, H. "The Information Capacity of the Human Eye," Science, 113:292-293, 1951.

Kopstein, F. F. and S. M. Roshal. "Learning Foreign Vocabulary From Pictures vs. Words," American Psychologist, 9:407-408, 1954.

Livingston, H. B. "Central Control of Afferent Activity," H. H. Jasper, et al (eds.). Reticular Information of the Brain. Boston: Little, Brown Publishers, 1958, pp. 177-185.

McCowen, M. C. "A Controlled Experiment in Visual Education in General Science," Educational Screen and AV Guide, 19:143-146, 1940.

Michotte, Albert B. The Perception of Causality. New York: Basic Books, Inc., 1963.

Miller, G. A. "The Magical Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two: Some Limitations on Our Capacity For Processing Information," Psychological Review, 63:81-97, 1956.

Miller, N. E., et al, (eds.). "Graphic Communication and the Crisis in Education," Audio-Visual Communication Review, 5:1-120, 1957.

Morris, Charles W. Signification and Significance. Cambridge: M.I.T. Press, 1964.

_____. Signs, Language and Behavior. New York: Prentice Hall, 1946.

Netter, Frank H. The Ciba Collection of Medical Illustrations: Volume 5 - Heart, Summit, N. J.: Ciba Pharmaceutical Co., 1969.

Osgood, Charles E. "Attitude Change and the Principle of Congruity," Wilbur Schramm (ed.). Mass Communication. Urbanna: University of Illinois Press, 1965.

Perrin, Donald G. "A Theory of Multiple-Image Communication," Audio-Visual Communication Review, 17:368-82, 1969.

Rappaport, M. "The Role of Redundancy in the Discrimination of Visual Forms," Journal of Experimental Psychology. 53:3-10, 1957.

3M Company. 3M Brand Transparency Originals for Overhead Projection: Science No. 3-S Biology. St. Paul: 3M Company, 1960.

Travers, Robert M., M. C. McCormick, A. P. Van Mondfrans, and F. E. Williams. Research and Theory Related to Audio-Visual Information Transmission. Utah: University of Utah, 1964.

Travers, Robert M. "The Transmission of Information to Human Perceivers," Audio-Visual Communication Review, 12:373-85, 1964.

_____, and Victor Alvarado. "The Design of Pictures For Teaching Children in Elementary School," Audio-Visual Communication Review, 18:47-64, 1970.

Treichler, D. G. "Are You Missing the Boat in Training (Media)," Film and Audio-Visual Communication, 1:14-16, 1967.

University of Illinois, Division of Communications. How Pictures and Graphs Aid Learning From Print. Human Resources Research Institute Memo No. 4, 1952.

Vernon, M. D. (ed.). Experiments in Visual Perception. Baltimore: Penguin Books, Inc., 1966.

Villee, Claude A. Biology: Third Edition. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Company, 1955.

APPENDICES

Appendix A

Chart of pq Scores

Recall Test

	Hi	Lo	pq
1.	100%	25%	.21
2.	75	20	.25
3.	95	30	.22
4.	70	30	.25
5.	70	10	.23
6.	80	30	.25
7.	75	35	.25
8.	90	15	.25
9.	85	25	.25
10.	100	40	.18
11.	95	50	.18
12.	85	20	.25
13.	100	50	.15
14.	75	25	.25
15.	75	25	.25
16.	90	25	.24
		Total	3.66

Operations Test

1.	90	45	.21
2.	70	25	.25
3.	50	25	.23
4.	65	50	.24
5.	55	15	.22
6.	70	50	.24
7.	70	10	.23
8.	50	25	.23
9	100	50	.15
10.	55	20	.23
11.	85	15	.25
12.	65	35	.25
13.	90	45	.21
14.	95	30	.22
15.	85	30	.24
		Total	3.40

Recognition Test

1.	85	40	.23
2.	90	15	.25
3.	95	15	.24
4.	90	20	.25
5.	95	20	.23
6.	95	5	.25
7.	95	35	.21
8.	95	30	.22
9.	95	50	.18
10.	95	50	.20
11.	95	15	.24
12.	100	30	.20
13.	70	30	.25
14.	100	35	.19
15.	95	35	.21
16.	100	20	.22
		Total	3.57

Composite 10.63

Appendix B

TEST VALIDITY

Content	Recall	Operations	Recognition	Total
Pericardium sac				
Identification			x	1
Operation		x		1
Myocardium				
Identification	x		x	2
Septum				
Identification			x	1
Separation	x			
Auricles				
Placement	x		x	2
Reception	x			1
Contract First	x	x		2
Ventricles				
Placement	x		x	2
Pumping		x		1
Right Auricle				
Receives Blood First	x			1
Receives Through	x			1
Vena Cavas				
Placement			x	1
Vena Cavas				
Position (Superior)			x	1
(Inferior)			x	1
Function		x		1

Content	Recall	Operations	Recognition	Total
Valves Are Door-like Openings	x			1
Tricuspid				
Placement	x	x	x	3
Function		x		1
Right Ventricle				
Forces Tricuspid Closed		x		1
Forces Pulmonary Valve Open		x		1
Pumps		x	x	2
Placement				
Pulmonary Valves				
Placement			x	1
Function	x	x		2
Pulmonary Veins				
Placement	x		x	2
Function	x	x		2
Left Auricle				
Placement			x	1
Function	x			1
Receives Blood	x			1
Forces Mitral Open		x		1
Left Ventricle				
Placement			x	1
Function	x			1
Fills		x		1
Forces Mitral Closed		x		1

Content	Recall	Operations	Recognition	Total
Left Ventricle(con't)				
Forces Aorta Open		x		1
Pumps Blood (to body)	x			1
Biggest/ Strongest	x			1
Mitral Valve				
Placement	x	x	x	3
Function		x		1
Relation to Tricuspid		x		1
Aorta				
Placement			x	1
Function	x	x		2
Relation to Pulmonary		x		1
Aortic Valve				
Placement	x		x	2
Function		x		1
Both sides work at same time		x		1
Contraction starts at the top		x		1
Diastolic Phase (Ventricels at Rest)	x	x		2

Content	Recall	Operations	Recongition	Total
Systolic Phase (squeezing)	x			1
Sides Exchange Blood	x			1

Appendix C

STIMULUS AND TEST MATERIALS

The next two pages contain one complete sequence (Line Drawing Black and White) of stimulus materials in minuturized version. These visuals were synchronized with the paragraphs in the Taped-Word Presentation.

This sequence is representative of the four sequences in which a drawing was used. The other sequences used these same visuals with color and shading added as needed. The verbal sequence used the words alone.

The minuturized drawings are followed by an example from each of the four treatment groups. The Line Drawing (Color) and the Shaded Drawings (Color) utilized the following color key: heart walls (muscles)--red; vena cavas, tricupid valve, and mitral valve--blue; pulmonary artery and pulmonary valve--purple; aortic artery and aortic valve--orange; and the pulmonary veins--violet.

The Visual illustrations are followed by the Taped-Word Presentation and the test materials.

























Line Drawing (Black and White)



Shaded Drawing (Black and White)



Line Drawing (Color)



Shaded Drawing (Color)

Taped-Word Presentation*

The human heart is a very strong muscle that works all of the time. It lies in the middle of your chest, a little to the left.

As we talk about the heart, we will think of it as it looks from the front. The right side of the heart will actually be on your left as you look at it because you are looking at it from the front.

The heart is carried in a bag of tissue called the pericardium sac. This tissue, or pericardium sac, wraps and protects the heart.

The thickest part of the heart is the heart muscle which is called the myocardium. It varies in thickness at different places in the heart, being thicker at the bottom and thinner at the top.

The myocardium provides the muscle power for squeezing during the contraction and relaxation of the heart as it beats. This is what pumps the blood through the heart.

The heart is actually two hearts put together. It is divided from top to bottom by a wall called the septum. This wall divides the heart into two halves and each half works by itself.

Therefore, you actually have two hearts put together and divided only by a wall called the septum.

Each half of the heart is further divided into two parts. The upper rooms, or chambers, are called the auricles. The bottom rooms, or chambers, are called the ventricles.

The auricles act as reception rooms for the blood to come into at the top. The ventricles are the big strong pumps at the bottom that pump the blood out of the heart.

Blood comes into the right auricle first. It comes in through the two veins called the superior vena cava and the inferior vena cava. The superior vena cava is at the top of the auricle, and the inferior vena cava is at the bottom of the auricle.

*Modification of Dwyer's (1967b) Heart Script.

As blood comes into the heart, it does not flow directly down into the right ventricle, but is held in the right auricle until the auricle is crammed full.

The auricles and ventricles have openings or door-like valves between them. The opening between the right auricle and right ventricle is called the tricuspid valve. This valve lets blood flow down into the right ventricle when the auricle muscles squeeze the blood, but stops it from going back the other way. This is because it only opens downward, when blood tries to go back the other way it just pushes the valve closed.

When the right ventricle gets full of blood, the thick ventricle muscles begin to contract or tighten up and this pushes the tricuspid valve closed. When the muscles squeeze enough, the blood forces the pulmonary valve open.

This valve, also, just opens one way. If the blood tries to come back down into the ventricle, it just pushes the pulmonary valve closed.

As the pulmonary valve is pushed open, the blood is squeezed out through the pulmonary artery which takes the blood to the lungs.

When enough blood is squeezed out of the ventricle and the blood pressure in the pulmonary artery becomes greater than the pressure in the ventricle, then some of the blood tries to come back. When it does, it closes the pulmonary valve.

When blood goes out through the pulmonary artery, it goes to the lungs where it is cleaned.

It comes back to the other side of the heart through the pulmonary veins.

The blood that is returning from the lungs comes through the pulmonary veins into the left auricle.

As the left auricle fills, the blood pushes through the mitral valve into the left ventricle.

When the left ventricle is filled, the pressure pushes the mitral valve closed.

The mitral valve works between the left auricle and left ventricle similar to the way the tricuspid valve works on the other side of the heart.

They both work at the same time.

When the left ventricle is filled the huge muscles begin to contract, or squeeze, until the aortic valve is forced open.

As the aortic valve is pushed open, the blood is forced out through the great artery called the aorta.

The aorta carries the blood throughout the body.

It comes back through the vena cavas and the cycle starts again.

It must be remembered that both sides of the heart work at the same time.

Blood flows through the vena cavas into the right auricle at the same time that blood is flowing through the pulmonary veins into the left auricle. When they are full, contraction starts at the top of the heart.

When the blood has been crammed down into the ventricles until they are full, then both ventricles contract, or squeeze, at the same time.

As they do, the right ventricle pumps blood to the lungs and the left ventricle pumps blood to the body.

Because of its job of pumping blood to all parts of the body, the left ventricle is the biggest and strongest of the four chambers.

In the next cycle, the two sides just exchange blood. The blood leaving the right side of the heart goes through the lungs and into the auricle on the left side.

The blood leaving the left side of the heart goes through the body and comes back to the auricle on the right side.

Both sides pump at the same time.

While blood is coming into the auricles, the ventricles are resting for just a very short time.

When the ventricles are resting, we call this the diastolic phase of the heart cycle.

The systolic phase, or contraction, begins when the auricles start contracting forcing the blood through the tricuspid and mitral valves. Both auricles squeeze blood down into the ventricles at the same time.

The systolic phase continues as the tricuspid and mitral valves close and the ventricle muscles contract to force the blood out through the pulmonary and aortic valves and on through the hugh arteries.

When the ventricles have pumped the blood out, they rest a moment and another cycle starts.

Name_____

Recall Test

All of the questions on this test are multiple choice questions. Select the best answer and circle it on your paper.

1. The two chambers at the bottom of the heart are lined with thick muscles. These chambers are the

   a. auricles
   b. ventricles
   c. vena cavas
   d. pulmonary veins

2. The heart cycle has two parts. When the ventricles start squeezing inward, this is called the

   a. heart beat
   b. diastolic phase
   c. pulse
   d. systolic phase

3. The two chambers at the top of the heart receive the blood when it flows into the heart. These chambers are the

   a. auricles
   b. ventricles
   c. vena cavas
   d. arteries

4. The second part of the heart cycle starts when the ventricles relax. This is called the

   a. heart beat
   b. diastolic phase
   c. pulse
   d. systolic phase

*Modification of Dwyer's (1967b) Terminology Test.

5. Blood <u>first</u> comes into the heart (from the body) through the

   a. auricles
   b. arteries
   c. pulmonary veins
   d. vena cavas

6. When the blood comes into the other side of the heart (from the lungs) it comes in through the

   a. ventricles
   b. arteries
   c. pulmonary veins
   d. vena cavas

7. The artery that takes the blood from the right ventricle to the lungs is the

   a. aortic artery
   b. pulmonary artery
   c. vena cava
   d. pulmonary vein

8. The artery that takes the blood from the left ventricle to the body is the

   a. aortic artery
   b. pulmonary artery
   c. vena cava
   d. septum

9. The strongest of the four rooms or chambers is the

   a. right auricle
   b. left auricle
   c. right ventricle
   d. left ventricle

10. The wall of the heart that is thick at the bottom and thinner at the top is called the

    a. septum
    b. myocardium
    c. aorta
    d. vena cava

11. The four door-like openings that open and close for blood to flow through are called

    a. arteries
    b. veins
    c. valves
    d. ventricles

12. The largest chamber is the one that pumps blood to all parts of the body. This chamber is the

    a. right ventricle
    b. left ventricle
    c. right auricle
    d. left auricle

13. The wall through the middle that separates the heart into two parts is called the

    a. septum
    b. heart muscle
    c. aorta
    d. myocardium

14. The opening between the right auricle and the right ventricle is the

    a. aortic artery
    b. tricuspid valve
    c. mitral valve
    d. pulmonary valve

15. The opening between the left auricle and the left ventricle is the

    a. septum
    b. myocardium
    c. tricuspid valve
    d. mitral valve

16. The opening between the left ventricle and the artery that goes to the body is the

    a. septum
    b. myocardium
    c. aortic valve
    d. pulmonary valve

Name_____

Operations Test*

All of the questions on this test are multiple choice questions. Select the best answer and circle it on your paper.

1. The sac that wraps and protects the heart is called the

   a. ventricle
   b. pericardium
   c. myocardium
   d. septum

2. Blood flows out of the right auricle when the proper valve opens. This valve is the

   a. tricuspid valve
   b. pulmonary valve
   c. mitral valve
   d. aortic valve

3. The valve on the other side of the heart that opens when the one in question 2 opens is the

   a. tricuspid valve
   b. pulmonary valve
   c. mitral valve
   d. aortic valve

4. When blood is being squeezed out of the right ventricle, the tricuspid valve is

   a. open
   b. closed
   c. partially open
   d. not affected

*Modification of Dwyer's (1967b) Comprehension Test.

5. The contraction or squeezing impulse starts at the

   a. top of the heart
   b. bottom of the heart
   c. middle of the heart
   d. inside of the heart

6. During the diastolic phase the ventricles are

   a. contracting
   b. relaxing
   c. not affected
   d. pumping blood

7. When blood is being forced out through the aorta, it is also being forced out through the

   a. vena cavas
   b. pulmonary artery
   c. pulmonary veins
   d. septum

8. In the systolic phase (when the ventricles are contracting) the mitral valve will be

   a. open
   b. closed
   c. partially open
   d. not affected

9. During the systolic phase blood is leaving the heart through the

   a. vena cavas
   b. pulmonary veins
   c. aortic and pulmonary arteries
   d. myocardium

10. When the heart contracts, the

    a. auricles and ventricles contract at the same time
    b. ventricles contract first
    c. auricles contract first
    d. septum contracts first

11. When blood is coming in through the vena cavas it is also coming in through the

    a. pulmonary veins
    b. pulmonary artery
    c. aorta
    d. pericardium

12. When the blood pressure in the left ventricle is greater than the pressure in the aorta, the mitral valve is

    a. open
    b. closed
    c. partially open
    d. not affected

13. When the mitral and tricuspid valves are shut, the pulmonary valve is

    a. open
    b. closed
    c. partially open
    d. not affected

14. During the systolic phase (when the ventricles are contracting) the aortic valve is

    a. open
    b. closed
    c. partially open
    d. not affected

15. The ventricles rest for a brief period of time during the

    a. diastolic phase
    b. systolic phase
    c. blood comes into them
    d. aortic valve opens

Name_____

Recognition Test*

Find number 1 on the heart drawing (on page 3), decide what part of the heart it is and circle the correct answer under number 1 below. Do the same for each of the other numbers.

1. a. pulmonary artery
   b. aortic artery
   c. pulmonary vein
   d. septum

2. a. tricuspid valve
   b. pulmonary valve
   c. aortic valve
   d. myocardium

3. a. superior vena cava
   b. pulmonary artery
   c. aortic artery
   d. inferior vena cava

4. a. mitral valve
   b. tricuspid valve
   c. pulmonary valve
   d. aortic valve

5. a. tricuspid valve
   b. pulmonary valve
   c. mitral valve
   d. vena cava

6. a. tricuspid valve
   b. aortic valve
   c. mitral valve
   d. right auricle

*Modification of Dwyer's (1967b) Identification Test.

7. a. right auricle
   b. left auricle
   c. right ventricle
   d. septum

8. a. right auricle
   b. left auricle
   c. left ventricle
   d. myocardium

9. a. right auricle
   b. right ventricle
   c. left ventricle
   d. vena cava

10. a. left auricle
    b. left ventricle
    c. right ventricle
    d. aorta

11. a. pulmonary artery
    b. superior vena cava
    c. inferior vena cava
    d. pulmonary vein

12. a. pulmonary artery
    b. aortic artery
    c. superior vena cava
    d. inferior vena cava

13. a. pulmonary arteries
    b. aortic arteries
    c. pulmonary veins
    d. vena cavas

14. a. pericardium sac
    b. myocardium
    c. septum
    d. aorta

15. a. pericardium sac
    b. septum
    c. vena cava
    d. myocardium

16. a. myocardium
    b. septum
    c. superior vena cava
    d. aorta


3
1
11
13
7
8
2
6
4
5
9
10
16
12
14
15